UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,541	08/25/2006	James R. Eshleman	62310(71699)	9774
49383 7590 04/29/2008 EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP			EXAMINER	
Client: JHU			KAPUSHOC, STEPHEN THOMAS	
P.O. BOX 55874 BOSTON, MA 02205		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1634	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/29/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/590,541	ESHLEMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen Kapushoc	1634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
<i>,</i>	· <del></del>					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in accordance with the practice under L	x parte quayre, 1000 O.D. 11, 40	0.0.210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15,28-30,40-42,75 and 76</u> is/are per	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15,28-30,40-42,75 and 76</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-15,28-30,40-42,75 and 76</u> are subje	ct to restriction and/or election re	equirement				
0)23 Claim(0) 7 70,20 00, 70 72,70 474 70 410 045)0		Admonion.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
doe the attached detailed enlies action for a list of the certained copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) L Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/590,541 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group 1, claim(s) 1-5, 41, and 42, drawn to methods for detecting infectious disease minority variants.

Group 2, claim(s) 6-13, drawn to methods of differentiating pancreatic cancer from chronic pancreatitis.

Group 3, claim(s) 23, drawn to a method for forensic identification.

Group 4, claim(s) 28 and 29, drawn to methods of sample identification comprising obtaining a pooled nucleic acid sample.

Group 5, claim(s) 40, drawn to methods of detecting a nucleic acid sequence difference comprising providing a reaction mixture with a complementary nucleotide.

Group 6, claim(s) 75 and 76, drawn to kits comprising primers.

## **Further Lack of Unity**

If Applicants elect the invention of Group 1, Applicants shall further select: (i) a single specific combination of at least one of a nucleotide difference that encodes the amino acid change K103N, Y1818C, K103E, K103R, K103T, G190A, P236L, or another substitution in the viral genome associated with altered susceptibility to one or more viral drugs (as recited in claim 5); or (ii) a single specific combination of at least one nucleic acid difference of KRAS2, K103N HIV-1, CFTR, p53, or Braf (as recited in claim 41). For claims that specifically recite elements listed above, the claims will only be examined in so far as they require the selected combination of elements. If Applicants elect a combination consisting of K103N HIV-1 (part ii above), then the combination consisting of K103N (part i above) will also be examined. Generic claims will be examined to their full generic extent.

Application/Control Number: 10/590,541

Art Unit: 1634

If Applicants elect the invention of Group 2, applicants shall further select a single particular combination of at least one KRAS2 nucleotide difference of G35A, G35T, G35C, G34A, G34T, G38A, and A182T (as recited in claim 8). For claims that specifically recite elements listed above, the claims will only be examined in so far as they require the selected combination of elements. Generic claims will be examined to their full generic extent.

Page 3

### Linking claims

2. Claims 14 and 15 link(s) inventions 1 and 2. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claim 14 and 15. Upon the indication of allowability of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be rejoined and fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104 Claims that require all the limitations of an allowable linking claim will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Applicant(s) are advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, the allowable linking claim, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

- 3. The inventions listed as Groups 1-6 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:
- 4. The common technical feature among the different groups is a pair of primers (P1 and P2) where P1 comprises a first gene specific region and a first primer region, and P2 comprises a second gene specific region and a second primer region. However this technical feature is taught in the prior art. Schouten et al (2002), as cited on the IDS of 08/25/2006, teaches a primer pair where a first primer comprises a first gene specific region and a first primer region, and second primer comprises a second gene specific region and a second primer region (Fig 2). As such, this common technical feature among the different groups is not a special technical feature.
- 5. With regard to the further lack of unity requirement, the different elements as identified by different specific nucleic acid differences and different specific genes are

Art Unit: 1634

different and lack a common technical feature because they require different nucleotide sequences. The different sequences are structurally unique as they are different chemical entities composed of different nucleotide sequences that are not common to one another.

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 8. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the

Art Unit: 1634

requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Kapushoc whose telephone number is (571)272-3312. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, from 8am until 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla can be reached on 571-272-0735. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Stephen Kapushoc/ Examiner, Art Unit 1634